

Subject: Human and Environmental Effects of Light Emitting Diode (LED) Community Lighting

Acknowledgement: The Council thanks George Brainard, PhD (Thomas Jefferson University); Richard Stevens, PhD (University Connecticut Health Center); and Mario Motta, MD (CSAPH, Tufts Medical School) for their contributions in preparing the initial draft of this report, and the commentary by Travis Longcore, PhD, on the ecological impact of nighttime electrical lighting.

1 1. INTRODUCTION
2

3 With the advent of highly efficient and bright light emitting diode (LED) lighting, strong economic arguments
4 exist to overhaul the street lighting of U.S. roadways.¹⁻³ Valid and compelling reasons driving the conversion
5 from conventional lighting include the inherent energy efficiency and longer lamp life of LED lighting, leading
6 to savings in energy use and reduced operating costs, including taxes and maintenance, as well as lower air
7 pollution burden from reduced reliance on fossil-based carbon fuels.
8

9 Not all LED light is optimal, however, when used as street lighting. Improper design of the lighting fixture can
10 result in glare, creating a road hazard condition.^{4,5} LED lighting also is available in various color correlated
11 temperatures. Many early designs of white LED lighting generated a color spectrum with excessive blue
12 wavelength. This feature further contributes to disability glare, i.e., visual impairment due to stray light, as blue
13 wavelengths are associated with more scattering in the human eye, and sufficiently intense blue spectrum
14 damages retinas.^{6,7} The excessive blue spectrum also is environmentally disruptive for many nocturnal species.
15 Accordingly, significant human and environmental concerns are associated with short wavelength (blue) LED
16 emission. Currently, approximately 10% of existing U.S. street lighting has been converted to solid state LED
17 technology, with efforts underway to accelerate this conversion. The Council is undertaking this report to assist
18 in advising communities on selecting among LED lighting options in order to minimize potentially harmful
19 human health and environmental effects.
20

21 2. METHODS
22

23 English language reports published between 2005 and 2016 were selected from a search of the PubMed and
24 Google Scholar databases using the MeSH terms “light,” “lighting methods,” “color,” “photostimulation,” and
25 “adverse effects,” in combination with “circadian rhythm/physiology/radiation effects,” “radiation
26 dosage/effects,” “sleep/physiology,” “ecosystem,” “environment,” and “environmental monitoring.” Additional
27 searches using the text terms “LED” and “community,” “street,” and “roadway lighting” were conducted.
28 Additional information and perspective were supplied by recognized experts in the field.
29

30 3. ADVANTGAGES AND DISADVANAGES OF LED STREET LIGHTS
31

32 The main reason for converting to LED street lighting is energy efficiency; LED lighting can reduce energy
33 consumption by up to 50% compared with conventional high pressure sodium (HPS) lighting. LED lighting has

1 no warm up requirement with a rapid “turn on and off” at full intensity. In the event of a power outage, LED
2 lights can turn on instantly when power is restored, as opposed to sodium-based lighting requiring prolonged
3 warm up periods. LED lighting also has the inherent capability to be dimmed or tuned, so that during off peak
4 usage times (e.g., 1 to 5 AM), further energy savings can be achieved by reducing illumination levels. LED
5 lighting also has a much longer lifetime (15 to 20 years, or 50,000 hours), reducing maintenance costs by
6 decreasing the frequency of fixture or bulb replacement. That lifespan exceeds that of conventional HPS lighting
7 by 2-4 times. Also, LED lighting has no mercury or lead, and does not release any toxic substances if damaged,
8 unlike mercury or HPS lighting. The light output is very consistent across cold or warm temperature gradients.
9 LED lights also do not require any internal reflectors or glass covers, allowing higher efficiency as well, if
10 designed properly.^{8,9}

11
12 Despite the benefits of LED lighting, some potential disadvantages are apparent. The initial cost is higher than
13 conventional lighting; several years of energy savings may be required to recoup that initial expense.¹⁰ The
14 spectral characteristics of LED lighting also can be problematic. LED lighting is inherently narrow bandwidth,
15 with "white" being obtained by adding phosphor coating layers to a high energy (such as blue) LED. These
16 phosphor layers can wear with time leading to a higher spectral response than was designed or intended.
17 Manufacturers address this problem with more resistant coatings, blocking filters, or use of lower color
18 temperature LEDs. With proper design, higher spectral responses can be minimized. LED lighting does not tend
19 to abruptly “burn out,” rather it dims slowly over many years. An LED fixture generally needs to be replaced
20 after it has dimmed by 30% from initial specifications, usually after about 15 to 20 years.^{1,11}

21
22 Depending on the design, a large amount blue light is emitted from some LEDs that appear white to the naked
23 eye. The excess blue and green emissions from some LEDs lead to increased light pollution, as these
24 wavelengths scatter more within the eye and have detrimental environmental and glare effects. LED’s light
25 emissions are characterized by their correlated color temperature (CCT) index.^{12,13} The first generation of LED
26 outdoor lighting and units that are still widely being installed are “4000K” LED units. This nomenclature
27 (Kelvin scale) reflects the equivalent color of a heated metal object to that temperature. The LEDs are cool to the
28 touch and the nomenclature has nothing to do with the operating temperature of the LED itself. By comparison,
29 the CCT associated with daylight light levels is equivalent to 6500K, and high pressure sodium lighting (the
30 current standard) has a CCT of 2100K. Twenty-nine percent of the spectrum of 4000K LED lighting is emitted
31 as blue light, which the human eye perceives as a harsh white color. Due to the point-source nature of LED
32 lighting, studies have shown that this intense blue point source leads to discomfort and disability glare.¹⁴

33
34 More recently engineered LED lighting is now available at 3000K or lower. At 3000K, the human eye still
35 perceives the light as “white,” but it is slightly warmer in tone, and has about 21% of its emission in the blue-
36 appearing part of the spectrum. This emission is still very blue for the nighttime environment, but is a significant
37 improvement over the 4000K lighting because it reduces discomfort and disability glare. Because of different
38 coatings, the energy efficiency of 3000K lighting is only 3% less than 4000K, but the light is more pleasing to
39 humans and has less of an impact on wildlife.

40 41 *Glare*

42
43 Disability glare is defined by the Department of Transportation (DOT) as the following:

44
45 “Disability glare occurs when the introduction of stray light into the eye reduces the ability to resolve spatial
46 detail. It is an objective impairment in visual performance.”

47 Classic models of this type of glare attribute the deleterious effects to intraocular light scatter in the eye.
48 Scattering produces a veiling luminance over the retina, which effectively reduces the contrast of stimulus
49 images formed on the retina. The disabling effect of the veiling luminance has serious implications for nighttime
50 driving visibility.¹⁵

1 Although LED lighting is cost efficient and inherently directional, it paradoxically can lead to worse glare than
2 conventional lighting. This glare can be greatly minimized by proper lighting design and engineering. Glare can
3 be magnified by improper color temperature of the LED, such as blue-rich LED lighting. LEDs are very intense
4 point sources that cause vision discomfort when viewed by the human eye, especially by older drivers. This
5 effect is magnified by higher color temperature LEDs, because blue light scatters more within the human eye,
6 leading to increased disability glare.¹⁶

7
8 In addition to disability glare and its impact on drivers, many residents are unhappy with bright LED lights. In
9 many localities where 4000K and higher lighting has been installed, community complaints of glare and a
10 “prison atmosphere” by the high intensity blue-rich lighting are common. Residents in Seattle, WA have
11 demanded shielding, complaining they need heavy drapes to be comfortable in their own homes at night.¹⁷
12 Residents in Davis, CA demanded and succeeded in getting a complete replacement of the originally installed
13 4000K LED lights with the 3000K version throughout the town at great expense.¹⁸ In Cambridge, MA, 4000K
14 lighting with dimming controls was installed to mitigate the harsh blue-rich lighting late at night. Even in places
15 with a high level of ambient nighttime lighting, such as Queens in New York City, many complaints were made
16 about the harshness and glare from 4000K lighting.¹⁹ In contrast, 3000K lighting has been much better received
17 by citizens in general.

18 19 *Unshielded LED Lighting*

20
21 Unshielded LED lighting causes significant discomfort from glare. A French government report published in
22 2013 stated that due to the point source nature of LED lighting, the luminance level of unshielded LED lighting
23 is sufficiently high to cause visual discomfort regardless of the position, as long as it is in the field of vision. As
24 the emission surfaces of LEDs are highly concentrated point sources, the luminance of each individual source
25 easily exceeds the level of visual discomfort, in some cases by a factor of 1000.¹⁷

26
27 Discomfort and disability glare can decrease visual acuity, decreasing safety and creating a road hazard. Various
28 testing measures have been devised to determine and quantify the level of glare and vision impairment by poorly
29 designed LED lighting.²⁰ Lighting installations are typically tested by measuring foot-candles per square meter
30 on the ground. This is useful for determining the efficiency and evenness of lighting installations. This method,
31 however, does not take into account the human biological response to the point source. It is well known that
32 unshielded light sources cause pupillary constriction, leading to worse nighttime vision between lighting fixtures
33 and causing a “veil of illuminance” beyond the lighting fixture. This leads to worse vision than if the light never
34 existed at all, defeating the purpose of the lighting fixture. Ideally LED lighting installations should be tested in
35 real life scenarios with effects on visual acuity evaluated in order to ascertain the best designs for public safety.

36 37 *Proper Shielding*

38
39 With any LED lighting, proper attention should be paid to the design and engineering features. LED lighting is
40 inherently a bright point source and can cause eye fatigue and disability glare if it is allowed to directly shine
41 into human eyes from roadway lighting. This is mitigated by proper design, shielding and installation ensuring
42 that no light shines above 80 degrees from the horizontal. Proper shielding also should be used to prevent light
43 trespass into homes alongside the road, a common cause of citizen complaints. Unlike current HPS street
44 lighting, LEDs have the ability to be controlled electronically and dimmed from a central location. Providing this
45 additional control increases the installation cost, but may be worthwhile because it increases long term energy
46 savings and minimizes detrimental human and environmental lighting effects. In environmentally sensitive or
47 rural areas where wildlife can be especially affected (e.g., near national parks or bio-rich zones where nocturnal
48 animals need such protection), strong consideration should be made for lower emission LEDs (e.g., 3000K or
49 lower lighting with effective shielding). Strong consideration also should be given to the use of filters to block
50 blue wavelengths (as used in Hawaii), or to the use of inherent amber LEDs, such as those deployed in Quebec.
51 Blue light scatters more widely (the reason the daytime sky is “blue”), and unshielded blue-rich lighting that

1 travels along the horizontal plane increases glare and dramatically increases the nighttime sky glow caused by
2 excessive light pollution.

4. POTENTIAL HEALTH EFFECTS OF “WHITE” LED STREET LIGHTING

6 Much has been learned over the past decade about the potential adverse health effects of electric light exposure,
7 particularly at night.²¹⁻²⁵ The core concern is disruption of circadian rhythmicity. With waning ambient light, and
8 in the absence of electric lighting, humans begin the transition to nighttime physiology at about dusk; melatonin
9 blood concentrations rise, body temperature drops, sleepiness grows, and hunger abates, along with several other
10 responses.

12 A number of controlled laboratory studies have shown delays in the normal transition to nighttime physiology
13 from evening exposure to tablet computer screens, backlit e-readers, and room light typical of residential
14 settings.²⁶⁻²⁸ These effects are wavelength and intensity dependent, implicating bright, short wavelength (blue)
15 electric light sources as disrupting transition. These effects are not seen with dimmer, longer wavelength light
16 (as from wood fires or low wattage incandescent bulbs). In human studies, a short-term detriment in sleep
17 quality has been observed after exposure to short wavelength light before bedtime. Although data are still
18 emerging, some evidence supports a long-term increase in the risk for cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular disease
19 and obesity from chronic sleep disruption or shiftwork and associated with exposure to brighter light sources in
20 the evening or night.^{25,29}

22 Electric lights differ in terms of their circadian impact.³⁰ Understanding the neuroscience of circadian light
23 perception can help optimize the design of electric lighting to minimize circadian disruption and improve visual
24 effectiveness. White LED streetlights are currently being marketed to cities and towns throughout the country in
25 the name of energy efficiency and long term cost savings, but such lights have a spectrum containing a strong
26 spike at the wavelength that most effectively suppresses melatonin during the night. It is estimated that a “white”
27 LED lamp is at least 5 times more powerful in influencing circadian physiology than a high pressure sodium
28 light based on melatonin suppression.³¹ Recent large surveys found that brighter residential nighttime lighting is
29 associated with reduced sleep time, dissatisfaction with sleep quality, nighttime awakenings, excessive
30 sleepiness, impaired daytime functioning, and obesity.^{29,32} Thus, white LED street lighting patterns also could
31 contribute to the risk of chronic disease in the populations of cities in which they have been installed.
32 Measurements at street level from white LED street lamps are needed to more accurately assess the potential
33 circadian impact of evening/nighttime exposure to these lights.

1 5. ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF LED LIGHTING

2
3 The detrimental effects of inefficient lighting are not limited to humans; 60% of animals are nocturnal and are
4 potentially adversely affected by exposure to nighttime electrical lighting. Many birds navigate by the moon and
5 star reflections at night; excessive nighttime lighting can lead to reflections on glass high rise towers and other
6 objects, leading to confusion, collisions and death.³³ Many insects need a dark environment to procreate, the most
7 obvious example being lightning bugs that cannot “see” each other when light pollution is pronounced. Other
8 environmentally beneficial insects are attracted to blue-rich lighting, circling under them until they are exhausted
9 and die.^{34,35} Unshielded lighting on beach areas has led to a massive drop in turtle populations as hatchlings are
10 disoriented by electrical light and sky glow, preventing them from reaching the water safely.³⁵⁻³⁷ Excessive
11 outdoor lighting diverts the hatchlings inland to their demise. Even bridge lighting that is “too blue” has been
12 shown to inhibit upstream migration of certain fish species such as salmon returning to spawn. One such overly
13 lit bridge in Washington State now is shut off during salmon spawning season.

14
15 Recognizing the detrimental effects of light pollution on nocturnal species, U.S. national parks have adopted best
16 lighting practices and now require minimal and shielded lighting. Light pollution along the borders of national
17 parks leads to detrimental effects on the local bio-environment. For example, the glow of Miami, FL extends
18 throughout the Everglades National Park. Proper shielding and proper color temperature of the lighting
19 installations can greatly minimize these types of harmful effects on our environment.

20
21 CONCLUSION

22
23 Current AMA Policy supports efforts to reduce light pollution. Specific to street lighting, Policy H-135.932
24 supports the implementation of technologies to reduce glare from roadway lighting. Thus, the Council
25 recommends that communities considering conversion to energy efficient LED street lighting use lower CCT
26 lights that will minimize potential health and environmental effects. The Council previously reviewed the
27 adverse health effects of nighttime lighting, and concluded that pervasive use of nighttime lighting disrupts
28 various biological processes, creating potentially harmful health effects related to disability glare and sleep
29 disturbance.²⁵

30
31 6. RECOMMENDATIONS

32
33 The Council on Science and Public Health recommends that the following statements be adopted, and the
34 remainder of the report filed.

- 35
36 1. That our American Medical Association (AMA) support the proper conversion to community-based
37 Light Emitting Diode (LED) lighting, which reduces energy consumption and decreases the use of fossil
38 fuels. (New HOD Policy)
- 39
- 40 2. That our AMA encourage minimizing and controlling blue-rich environmental lighting by using the
41 lowest emission of blue light possible to reduce glare. (New HOD Policy)
- 42
- 43 3. That our AMA encourage the use of 3000K or lower lighting for outdoor installations such as roadways.
44 All LED lighting should be properly shielded to minimize glare and detrimental human and
45 environmental effects, and consideration should be given to utilize the ability of LED lighting to be
46 dimmed for off-peak time periods. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Less than \$500

REFERENCES

1. Municipal Solid State Street Lighting Consortium.
<http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/ssl/consortium.html>. Accessed April 4, 2016.
2. Illuminating Engineering Society RP-8 – Guide to Roadway Lighting. <http://www.ies.org/>? 2014. Accessed April 4, 2016.
3. LED Lighting Facts—A Program of the United States Department of Energy. <http://www.lightingfacts.com>. Accessed April 5, 2016.
4. Lin Y, Liu Y, Sun Y, Zhu X, Lai J, Heynderickz I. Model predicting discomfort glare caused by LED road lights. *Opt Express*. 2014;22(15):18056-71.
5. Gibbons RB, Edwards CJ. A review of disability and discomfort glare research and future direction. 18th Biennial TRB Visibility Symposium, College Station TX, United States, April 17-19, 2007.
6. Shang YM, Wang GS, Sliney D, Yang CH, Lee LL. White light–emitting diodes (LEDs) at domestic lighting levels and retinal injury in a rat model. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2014;122(3):269-76.
7. Loughheed T. Hidden blue hazard? LED lighting and retinal damage in rats, *Environ Health Perspect*. 2014;122(3):A81.
8. A Municipal Guide for Converting to LED Street Lighting,
(<http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/ssl/consortium.html>) 10/13/2013.
9. In depth: Advantages of LED Lighting. <http://energy.ltgovernors.com/in-depth-advantages-of-led-lighting.html>. Accessed April 5, 2016.
10. Silverman H. How LED Streetlights Work. HowStuffWorks.com. June 22, 2009.
<http://science.howstuffworks.com/environmental/green-tech/sustainable/led-streetlight.htm>. Accessed April 7, 2016.
11. Jin H, Jin S, Chen L, Cen S, Yuan K. Research on the lighting performance of LED street lights with different color temperatures. *IEEE Photonics Journal*. 2015;24(6):975-78.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=7328247>. Accessed April 7, 2016.
12. Morris N. LED there be light. Nick Morris predicts a bright future for LEDs. *Electrooptics.com*.
<http://www.electrooptics.com/features/junjul06/junjul06leds.html>.
Accessed April 7, 2016.
13. Mills MP. The LED illumination revolution. *Forbes Magazine*. February 27, 2008.
http://www.forbes.com/2008/02/27/incandescent-led-cfl-pf-guru_in_mm_0227energy_inl.html. Accessed April 5, 2016.

14. Opinion of the French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety, October 19, 2010. <https://web.archive.org/web/20140429161553/http://www.anses.fr/Documents/AP2008sa0408EN.pdf>
15. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, 2005.
16. Sweater-Hickcox K, Narendran N, Bullough JD, Freyssinier JP. Effect of different coloured luminous surrounds on LED discomfort glare perception. *Lighting Research Technology*. 2013;45(4):464-75. <http://lrt.sagepub.com/content/45/4/464>. Accessed April 5, 2016.
17. Scigliano E. Seattle's new LED-lit streets Blinded by the lights. *Crosscut*. March 18, 2013. <http://crosscut.com/2013/03/streetlights-seattle-led/>. Accessed April 6, 2016.
18. Davis will spend \$350,000 to replace LED lights after neighbor complaints. CBS Local, Sacramento; October 21, 2014. <http://sacramento.suntimes.com/sac-news/7/138/6000/davis-will-spend-350000-to-replace-led-lights-after-neighbor-complaints>.
19. Chaban M. LED streetlights in Brooklyn are saving energy but exhausting residents. *NY Times*; March 23, 2015. http://www.nytimes.com/2015/03/24/nyregion/new-led-streetlights-shine-too-brightly-for-some-in-brooklyn.html?_r=0. Accessed April 5, 2016.
20. Vos JJ. On the cause of disability glare and its dependence on glare angle, age and ocular pigmentation. *Clin Exp Optom*. 2003;86(6):363-70.
21. Stevens RG, Brainard GC, Blask DE, Lockley, SW, Motta, ME. Breast cancer and circadian disruption from electric lighting in the modern world. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2014;64:207-18.
22. Evans JA, Davidson AJ. Health consequences of circadian disruption in humans and animal models. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci*. 2013;119:283-323.
23. Wright KP Jr, McHill AW, Birks BR, Griffin BR, Rusterholz T, Chinoy ED. Entrainment of the human circadian clock to the natural light-dark cycle. *Curr Biol*. 2013;23:1554-8.
24. Energy Savings Estimates of Light Emitting Diodes in Niche Lighting Applications. Building Technologies Program, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, U.S. Department of Energy. January 2011. http://apps1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/publications/pdfs/ssl/nichefinalreport_january2011.pdf. Accessed April 7, 2016.
25. Council on Science and Public Health Report 4. Light pollution. Adverse effects of nighttime lighting. American Medical Association, Annual Meeting, Chicago, IL. 2012.
26. Cajochen C, Frey S, Anders D, et al. Evening exposure to a light-emitting diodes (LED)-backlit computer screen affects circadian physiology and cognitive performance. *J Appl Physiol*. 2011;110:1432-8.

27. Chang AM, Aeschbach D, Duffy JF, Czeisler CA. Evening use of light-emitting eReaders negatively affects sleep, circadian timing, and next-morning alertness. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2015;112:1232-7.
28. Gooley JJ, Chamberlain K, Smith KA, et al. Exposure to room light before bedtime suppresses melatonin onset and shortens melatonin duration in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011;96:E463-72.
29. Koo YS, Song JY, Joo EY, et al. Outdoor artificial light at night, obesity, and sleep health: Cross-sectional analysis in the KoGES study. *Chronobiol Int*. 2016;33(3):301-14.
30. Lucas RJ, Peirson SN, Berson DM, et al. Measuring and using light in the melanopsin age. *Trends Neurosci*. 2014;37:1-9.
31. Falchi F, Cinzano P, Elvidge CD, Keith DM, Haim A. Limiting the impact of light pollution on human health, environment and stellar visibility. *J Environ Manage*. 2011;92:2714-22.
32. Ohayon M, Milesi C. Sleep deprivation/insomnia and exposure to street lights in the American general population. American Academy of Neurology Annual Meeting. April 15-21, 2016. Vancouver, BC.
 33. Pawson SM, Bader MK. Led lighting increases the ecological impact of light pollution irrespective of color temperature. *Ecological Applications*. 2014;24:1561-68.
 34. Gaston K, Davies T, Bennie J, Hopkins J. Reducing the ecological consequences of night-time light pollution: Options and developments. *J Appl Ecol*. 2012;49(6):1256-66.
35. Salmon M. Protecting sea turtles from artificial night lighting at Florida's oceanic beaches. In: Rich C, Longcore T (eds.). *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting*. 2006:141-68. Island Press, Washington, DC.
36. Rusenko KW, Mann JL, Albury R, Moriarty JE, Carter HL. Is the wavelength of city glow getting shorter? Parks with no beachfront lights record adult aversion and hatchling disorientations in 2004. Kalb H, Rohde A, Gayheart K, Shanker, K, compilers. 2008. *Proceedings of the Twenty-fifth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation*, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-582, 204pp. <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/species/turtlesymposium2005.pdf>
37. Rusenko KW, Newman R, Mott C, et al. Using GIS to determine the effect of sky glow on nesting sea turtles over a ten year period. Jones TT, Wallace BP, compilers. 2012. *Proceedings of the Thirty-first Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation*. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA NMFS-SEFSC-631:32p.

